

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/320,609		WILUSZ ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Marjorie A. Moran		1631	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Marjorie A. Moran. (3) _____
 (2) N. Wise. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 September 2002 .

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____ .

Claim(s) discussed: All pending .

Identification of prior art discussed: None .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

- i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

MA Moran
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner stated that the after-final amendment filed 9/10/02 would not be entered as the proposed amendment to claim 49 introduces new matter. The limitation got an mRNA "known to be involved in the modulation of cell growth or differentiation" is new matter. The examiner stated that, except for rejections of claims 48-50, all other proposed amendments would overcome rejections and objections to the specification and claims. Mr. Wise indicated that another after-final amendment would be filed.